



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/774,954	01/30/2001	Yang Wang	P1041P1C1	5556
9157	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
GENENTECH, INC.			WALICKA, MA	LGORZATA A
1 DNA WAY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080		0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1652	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/774,954	WANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Malgorzata A. Walicka	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•		·			
Attachment(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	**************************************			

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1-8, drawn to O-fucosyltransferase enzyme, classified in class 435, subclass 193.
- II. Claim 9-11, drawn to DNA encoding polypeptide of Group I and related subjects, classified in class 536, subclass 23.2.
- III. Claim 12-14, drawn to O-fucosyltransferase variant which inhibits O-fucosyltransferase enzyme, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- IV. Claim 15-21 directed to an antibody that recognizes a polypeptide according to claim 1, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- Claim 22-24, drawn to a method of glycosylation using the polypeptide of group I,
 classified in class 435, subclass 15.
- VI. Claim 25-30, drawn to a process for isolating and purifying O-fucosyltransferase, classified in class 530, subclass 412.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of group I-IV are independent chemical entities having different structures and biological activities and, therefore, require separate search in the patent literature and non-patent publications. The search for group I and II is overlapping but not coextensive as indicating by different classification of the chemical compounds of I and II.

Inventions of group I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, invention of group I, the product can be used in another process of using, i.e. for production of antibodies specific for this product. Therefore, the inventions of group I and V are shown to be distinct.

Inventions I and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another

and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case Invention of group I can be made by chemical synthesis and not by isolating from an organism and purification. Thus invention of group I and VI are shown to be distinct.

Inventions of group II and V-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention of group II, DNA encoding O-fucosyltransferase is not used in methods of group V-VI, because these methods are related to the product of group I. The methods of group II and V-VI are not capable of use together, and are therefore distinct.

Inventions of group III and V-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention of group III, a variant O-fucosyltransferase is not used in methods of group V-VI, because these methods are related to the product of group I. The methods of group III and V-VI are not capable of use together, and are therefore distinct.

Inventions of group IV and V-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention of group IV, antibody specific for O-fucosyltransferase is not used in methods of group V-VI, because these methods are related to the product of group I. The methods of group IV and V-VI are not capable of use together, and are therefore distinct.

Inventions I-VI are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art. Because of their recognized divergent subject matter and/or different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

Application/Control Number: 09/774,954

Art Unit: 1652

Page 4

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Malgorzata A. Walicka whose telephone number is (571) 272-0944. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on (571) 272-0928. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Malgorzata A. Walicka, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1652

Patent Examiner